11/1/1/1 - 7 Zwed

ENC1102 - Fall 2023 - Peer Review for Final Research Paper

Name	e of Reviewer: Name of Author:
1	Does the author have an opening to their paper that generates interest in the topic or question they are writing about? Is there a way that this could sound clearer? Is their own take on the topic clear? Can you tell from the first couple of paragraphs why this questions matters, and to what community? Give some comments and suggestions for revision on the opening of this paper.
	40567 Fourst Hotor of EVERYTHING Was a ten) Unsa
	4056/ 1003 The
2	Do you think this paper has presented enough academic research related to the topic to be considered an expert on what others have written about? The academic journal articles should be discussed in a complete and extensive way (not just like the annotated bib) and the author should be connecting those to the question they are asking. Make a suggestion
CH	- Tomo Timprove in this regard. The Halfield Thick that I walken't mind a Section of Vinga history on LEGO, and What - LEGO timp plies the adult are protosty to for
3.	Consider the methods described in this paper at this point. Has the author described all of the decisions that went into the design of their observation tools? If the author is analyzing existing texts and/or data, have they explained the system they are using for their analysis? Suggest an improvement and a way to expand the explanation of the methods and results. Must man action flanch Misch Wart about Guffs Vill be applied. How was the analysis (affired out).
4.	Is the author drawing some claims/conclusions/discussion from the results of their

primary research? Is the author contributing some new perspective on the topic? How could they improve on this aspect of the paper?

Jes a little, but this Greation Is Not Jone got

I think Mas Sampe Size? Could be were, + upcoming is sue

50 Less examples